

**DRAFT**

**PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
**ENERGY DIVISION**  
**ID#2769**  
**RESOLUTION E-3848**  
**October 2, 2003**

**R E S O L U T I O N**

Resolution E-3848. Southern California Edison Company (SCE) request for approval as reasonable Amendment No. 2 to the Power Purchase Contract between Southern California Edison Company and Ormesa Geothermal (QFID No. 3010) and Contract Termination Agreement between Ormesa Geothermal II (QFID No. 3012) and Southern California Edison. Approved.

By Advice Letter 1726-E filed on August 1, 2003.

---

**SUMMARY**

Southern California Edison Company (SCE) requests approval for Amendment No. 2 to the Power Purchase Contract between Southern California Edison Company and Ormesa Geothermal (QFID No. 3010) and Contract Termination Agreement between Ormesa Geothermal II (QFID No. 3012) and Southern California Edison as reasonable. Specifically, SCE's Restructuring Advice Letter Filing (RALF) would consolidate power purchase agreements (PPAs) for two viable adjacent geothermal Qualifying Facilities (QF) projects (the Ormesa Geothermal Project and the Ormesa Geothermal II Project), owned by the same developer, into a single restructured contract. This resolution approves SCE's request.

SCE requests that the Commission adopt a resolution at the earliest possible time, but, by no later than November 1, 2003, so that, after taking into account the 30-day period for applications for rehearing,<sup>1</sup> a condition of finality may be achieved by the December 1, 2003 deadline agreed upon by SCE and Ormesa. SCE requests the following findings:

---

<sup>1</sup> Filing of a timely application for rehearing is a jurisdictional requirement for further review of Commission decision in the courts. Pub. Util. Code Section 1731(b).

- (1) Approve Amendment No. 2 as reasonable in all respects;
- (2) Approve the Termination Agreement entered into concurrently with and pursuant to Amendment No. 2 as reasonable in all respects; and
- (3) All payments made pursuant to Amendment No. 2 and the Termination Agreement are fully recoverable in SCE's retail rates subject only to review by the Commission with respect to reasonableness of SCE's administration of the QFID 3010 PPA, as amended by Amendment No. 2.

## **BACKGROUND**

The Commission sought to encourage QF contract restructuring in its Preferred Policy Decision, D.95-12-063, as modified by D.96-01-009, by proposing an incentive mechanism to encourage the restructuring of QF contracts so that total transition costs might be reduced. Specifically, shareholders would be allowed to retain 10% of the net ratepayer benefits resulting from a renegotiation.

In D.96-12-088 (the Roadmap 2 Decision), the Commission stated its interest in "establishing a generic and possibly expedited process by which we can assess the reasonableness of [QF] contract restructuring in a manner which respects the principles outlined in our Preferred Policy Decision" (D.96-12-088, p.79).

In 1998, the Commission adopted the Restructuring Advice Letter Filing (RALF)<sup>2</sup> process in D.98-12-066:

"The restructuring Advice Letter [filing] process attached as Attachment B to this decision, shall be adopted subject to the modifications and clarifications set forth in Section 7 of this decision." (D.98-12-066, Ordering Paragraph 1).

The Commission adopted the RALF process with modifications that were not included in Attachment B to D.98-12-066 but were instead set forth in the

---

<sup>2</sup> Restructuring Advice Letter Filing ("RALF") Procedure For Review of QF Contract Restructurings.

decision. The Commission included the following provisions in the adopted RALF process:

- "We will require that a statement of support or neutrality from ORA be attached to any restructuring Advice Letter filing. We will not limit the use of the restructuring Advice Letter in any other way, such as by dollar size or by type of QF (including affiliates of utilities). (D.98-12-066, p.27, and Conclusion of Law 9)
- "While an ORA statement must be included with the restructuring Advice Letter, any other party may file a protest to the Advice Letter in the proper timeframe. We believe the procedural safeguards set forth in Attachment B, as modified by the following discussion, will ensure fairness in addressing the protests. Energy Division will review such protests (and any responses), and prepare a Resolution for the Commission pursuant to Section 9 of Attachment B [to D.98-12-066]. However, we modify Section 9 so that Energy Division, at its discretion, may advise the utility that the matter is too complex and should be filed as an Application. Energy Division may also advise the utility to file an Application even if there are no protests, should the Division determine that there are complexities to the filing that the Division does not believe it is in the best position to resolve. The Energy Division should discuss any such recommendation with the Coordinating Commissioner for QF matters before advising the utility to file an Application." (D.98-12-066, p.17)
- "We do not adopt Section 4 in Attachment B addressing confidentiality. Confidentiality issues shall be consistent with the current practice for utility Advice Letters." (D.98-12-066, p.28).

As described in SCE AL 1726-E, the Ormesa Geothermal and Ormesa Geothermal II Projects are geothermal QFs located in Imperial County, California. SCE's power purchases from Ormesa Geothermal and Ormesa Geothermal II are currently made in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Ormesa Geothermal and Ormesa II (QFID 3012) PPAs, which were entered into pursuant to the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 (PURPA), FERC's regulations implementing PURPA (18 C.F.R. § 292.101 et seq. (2002)), and decisions and orders of the Commission implementing PURPA in California. These PPAs account for approximately 65.3 MW of installed electric geothermal generating capacity. SCE states that the PPAs are based on Commission-approved standard offer forms and all provide for 30-year terms. The Ormesa Geothermal agreement was entered into on July 18, 1984 and the Ormesa

Geothermal II agreement (QFID 3012) on June 13, 1984. These agreements will expire in 2017 and 2018, respectively. Energy deliveries by the Projects during 2002 collectively totaled approximately 374,085,000 KWh.

## **NOTICE**

Notice of AL 1726-E was made by publication in the Commission's Daily Calendar. Southern California Edison states that a copy of the Advice Letter was mailed and distributed in accordance with Section III-G of General Order 96-A.

## **PROTESTS**

Advice Letter AL 1726-E was filed on August 1, 2003. The protest period ended August 21, 2003. No protests were filed.

## **DISCUSSION**

Energy Division has reviewed both the public and confidential versions of SCE AL 1727-E. SCE AL 1727-E included information required in Section 3 of RALF, and has complied with the other RALF filing requirements. These requirements are reproduced here as Attachment 1 to E-3848. Attachment 1 is modified from the original Attachment B to D.98-12-066 to reflect determinations made in D.98-12-066.

On July 14, 2003, ORA issued a letter in support of the contract restructuring, now proposed by SCE in AL 1726-E. The RALF procedure requires a statement of support or neutrality from ORA be attached to any restructuring Advice Letter filing.

SCE does not seek any shareholder incentive as a result of this contract restructuring.

With regard to historical performance, the projects have not experienced any operational issues such as probation or deration. From 1999 through 2002,

overall production from the projects decreased slightly, but increased after ORMAT's<sup>3</sup> reacquisition of the projects, and the subsequent capital improvement program. As a geothermal QF, Ormesa is not subject to efficiency monitoring standards.

Section 3f. of the RALF procedure requires disclosure of any significant, pending legal or regulatory disputes between the utility and the QFs. Upon such request, SCE informed the Energy Division that there are no disputes of any nature between Edison and the projects.

With regard to future viability, Ormesa plans to repower some of the units with newer machinery of the same technology, and requested that Edison concur that the equipment change-out constituted a repower and not a change of prime mover. After an engineering review of Ormesa's plans, Edison ultimately concurred that the equipment change constituted a repower and would not violate the contract. During the negotiations surrounding contract consolidation, Ormesa engaged in their capital improvement program, including the replacement of the project's cooling towers. Ormesa demonstrated to Edison's satisfaction through its plans and actions that Ormesa was determined to maintain the viability of the projects either separately or as consolidated.

The primary ratepayer benefit that would result from the proposed contract restructuring is a one-time, lump sum payment by Ormesa, LLC to SCE. This payment could be received by SCE as soon as five days after Commission approval of SCE AL 1727-E. The payment amount is fixed and does not represent forecasted savings, nor is it tied to an energy index or other variable rate. Payment would be credited to SCE's 0103 Account for Purchased Power on behalf of QFID 3012, resulting in the same one-time, lump sum reduction amount eligible for inclusion in SCE's Energy Resource Recovery Account (ERRA).

Due to the relatively immediate ratepayer benefit that would accrue, the Commission should approve, as reasonable, Amendment No. 2 to the Power

---

<sup>3</sup> ORMAT Group, an energy company based in Sparks, Nevada, purchased Ormesa Geothermal I & II in April 2002. The company owns and operates about 30 energy projects (geothermal, waste heat recovery, and solar), a total portfolio of about 200 MW. See [www.ormat.com](http://www.ormat.com)

Purchase Contract between Southern California Edison Company and Ormesa Geothermal (QFID No. 3010) and the Contract Termination Agreement between Ormesa Geothermal II (QFID No. 3012) and Southern California Edison. Accordingly, SCE should be allowed to recover all payments made pursuant to Amendment No. 2 and the Termination Agreement in SCE's retail rates, subject to review by the Commission with respect to the reasonableness of SCE's administration of the QFID 3010 PPA, as amended by Amendment No. 2.

### **COMMENTS**

PU Code section 311(g)(1) provides that this resolution must be served on all parties and subject to at least 30 days public review and comment prior to a vote of the Commission. However, since this is an uncontested matter in which the resolution grants the relief requested. Pursuant to PU Code 311(g)(2), the otherwise applicable 30-day period for public review and comment is being reduced.

### **FINDINGS**

1. The Commission adopted the Restructuring Advice Letter Filing (RALF) process in D.98-12-066. On August 1, 2003, SCE filed Advice Letter 1726-E pursuant to the RALF process for approval to consolidate two QF contracts.
2. AL 1726-E was not protested.
3. On July 14, 2003, ORA issued a letter in support of the contract restructuring, now proposed by SCE in AL 1726-E.
4. SCE complied with RALF filing requirements.
5. SCE does not seek any shareholder incentive as a result of this contract restructuring.
6. The Ormesa QFs have not experienced any operational issues such as probation or deration, and project viability is expected to be enhanced through capital improvements.

7. There are no pending legal or regulatory disputes between SCE and the Ormesa QFs.
8. The primary ratepayer benefit that would result from the proposed contract restructuring is a one-time, lump sum payment by Ormesa, LLC to SCE. This payment would be credited to SCE's 0103 Account for Purchased Power on behalf of QFID 3012, resulting in the same one-time, lump sum reduction amount eligible for inclusion in SCE's Energy Resource Recovery Account (ERRA).
9. We should approve, as reasonable, Amendment No. 2 to the Power Purchase Contract between Southern California Edison Company and Ormesa Geothermal (QFID No. 3010) and the Contract Termination Agreement between Ormesa Geothermal II (QFID No. 3012) and Southern California Edison.
10. SCE should be allowed to recover all payments made pursuant to Amendment No. 2 and the Termination Agreement in SCE's retail rates, subject to review by the Commission with respect to the reasonableness of SCE's administration of the QFID 3010 PPA, as amended by Amendment No. 2.

**THEREFORE IT IS ORDERED THAT:**

1. Southern California Edison Company's request for approval of Amendment No. 2 to the Power Purchase Contract between Southern California Edison Company and Ormesa Geothermal (QFID No. 3010) and the Contract Termination Agreement between Ormesa Geothermal II (QFID No. 3012) and Southern California Edison, as requested in Advice Letter 1726-E, is granted.
2. SCE may recover all payments made pursuant to Amendment No. 2 and the Termination Agreement in SCE's retail rates, subject to review by the Commission with respect to the reasonableness of SCE's administration of the QFID 3010 PPA, as amended by Amendment No. 2.

This Resolution is effective today.

I certify that the foregoing resolution was duly introduced, passed and adopted at a conference of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California held on October 16, 2003; the following Commissioners voting favorably thereon:

---

WILLIAM AHERN  
Executive Director



## **Attachment 1 to Resolution E-3848**

**Formerly ATTACHMENT B to D.98-12-066**

**[Modified Here in Attachment 1 to Resolution E-3848  
To Reflect Modifications Set Forth In D.98-12-066]**

### **Revised Exhibit A**

#### **RESTRUCTURING ADVICE LETTER FILING ("RALF") PROCEDURE FOR REVIEW OF QF CONTRACT RESTRUCTURINGS**

**THIS ATTACHMENT B IS SUBJECT TO THE MODIFICATIONS SET FORTH  
IN SECTION 7 OF D.98-12-066, INCLUDING:**

- "We will require that a statement of support or neutrality from ORA be attached to any restructuring Advice Letter filing. We will not limit the use of the restructuring Advice Letter in any other way, such as by dollar size or by type of QF (including affiliates of utilities). (D.98-12-066, p.27, and Conclusion of Law 9)
- "While an ORA statement must be included with the restructuring Advice Letter, any other party may file a protest to the Advice Letter in the proper timeframe. We believe the procedural safeguards set forth in Attachment B, as modified by the following discussion, will ensure fairness in addressing the protests. Energy Division will review such protests (and any responses), and prepare a Resolution for the Commission pursuant to Section 9 of Attachment B [to D.98-12-066]. However, we modify Section 9 so that Energy Division, at its discretion, may advise the utility that the matter is too complex and should be filed as an Application. Energy Division may also advise the utility to file an Application even if there are no protests, should the Division determine that there are complexities to the filing that the Division does not believe it is in the best position to resolve. The Energy Division should discuss any such recommendation with the Coordinating Commissioner for QF matters before advising the utility to file an Application." (D.98-12-066, p.17)
- "We do not adopt Section 4 in Attachment B addressing confidentiality. Confidentiality issues shall be consistent with the current practice for utility Advice Letters." (D.98-12-066, p.28).

1. The utility will submit a restructuring advice letter to the Commission's Energy Division which will contain the essential information necessary to establish the reasonableness of the proposed voluntarily negotiated QF restructuring. Each such filing, and all protests, responses and replies concerning the filing, shall indicate a

postal address and (where appropriate) a FAX number or e-mail address at which the advice letter filer, protestant or respondent, agrees to receive subsequent documents and notices relevant to the advice letter. Each such filing will be reported in the Daily Calendar.

2. Service of the restructuring advice letter shall be as follows:

On or before the date a restructuring advice letter is submitted for filing, and unless otherwise directed by Commission order, the utility shall serve the restructuring advice letter (1) on the Consumer Services Division and the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (service on these parties may be made by Internet); and (2) on the utility's restructuring advice letter service list and any other third parties as specified by the Energy Division, other Commission order, or statute.

The utility's restructuring advice letter service list shall include the postal and e-mail address, as appropriate, of persons on the list. The utility shall include on the requested list any person that requests such inclusion and may periodically confirm the desire of any currently listed person to remain on the list.

After the filing of a restructuring advice letter, and pending its disposition, the utility shall promptly provide a copy of the advice letter to anyone so requesting. Such provision shall be without charge to anyone who is a current customer for utility services from the utility, or to anyone receiving the advice letter by Internet.

3. The restructuring advice letter shall contain the following categories of information, including all relevant work papers and other relevant supporting documents:

- a. Identification of the QF, location of the QF's generating facility, brief description of the generating facility size, type of technology and other pertinent or unique characteristics.
- b. Ownership of the QF project and related companies, including affiliate relationships of the parties involved in the transaction, if any.
- c. A detailed description of the historical operational performance of the project, including historical production and compliance with performance and efficiency monitoring standards.
- d. A summary of the proposed contract restructuring.
- e. A summary of the ratepayer benefits.
- f. A description of any significant, pending legal or regulatory disputes between the Utility and the QF, and their resolution or status.

- g. An assessment of the QF's projected economic and operational viability under the existing contract.
- h. A detailed description of ratepayer benefits, shareholder incentive, and sensitivity analyses.
- i. A copy of the QF's existing contract, including any amendments.
- j. A copy of the executed or unexecuted restructured agreement for which approval is sought and copies of all related agreements between the QF and the Utility.

4. The publicly available version of the restructuring advice letter may be redacted to delete the following types of confidential information, which redaction would be approved in advance by the Commission in its orders authorizing the use of the advice letter process:

a. The schedule of any restructuring payments to be made to the QF, including the total amount thereof.

b. The Utility's non-public projection of replacement energy and capacity costs.

c. The Utility's projection of future production by and payments to the QF under the existing contract.

d. Non-public financial and operating data provided on a confidential basis by the QF to the Utility.

e. The Utility's assessment of the QF's financial and operating viability under the existing contract.

f. The Utility's analysis of ratepayer savings under expected, best case and worst case scenarios (except that the projected range of savings under each scenario shall not itself be deemed confidential).

g. Portions of restructuring agreements that are deemed to be confidential by the parties and which, if made public, would place the Utility and/or the QF at a competitive disadvantage.

h. Other information which constitutes a protectable trade secret of a party or which, if publicly disclosed, would place the Utility or the QF at a competitive disadvantage.  
[Deleted per D.98-12-066, p.18]

5. The restructuring advice letter shall only take effect upon Commission approval.

6. Any person may protest or respond to a restructuring advice letter as follows:

Within 20 days after the date that the advice letter is reported in the Daily Calendar, the protest or response shall be submitted to the Energy Division and served on the same day on the utility filing the restructuring advice letter. After filing a protest, and pending disposition of the restructuring advice letter, the protestant shall promptly provide a copy of the protest to anyone so requesting.

A restructuring advice letter may be protested on one or more of the following grounds:

- a. The utility did not properly serve or give notice of the restructuring advice letter;
- b. The relief requested in the restructuring advice letter would violate statute or Commission order;
- c. The restructuring advice letter contains material errors, or does not follow the Commission's approved methodology, if any.

In addition, a restructuring advice letter may be protested on the grounds that the proposed restructuring is unjust, unreasonable, or discriminatory, provided, however, that a restructuring advice letter is not subject to protest on these grounds where such protest would require relitigating a prior order of the Commission.

The utility filing the restructuring advice letter shall reply to each protest and may reply to any response. Any such reply shall be submitted to the Energy Division not later than five business days after the last day to serve a protest or response, and shall be served on the same day on the person making the protest or response. If there are multiple protests or responses to a restructuring advice letter, the utility's reply may be to all such protests and responses.

The Energy Division may consider a late-filed protest or response. If the Energy Division considers a late-filed protest or response, it shall notify the utility filing the restructuring advice letter, and the utility shall have five business days from the date of issuance of the notice within which to reply to the late-filed protest or response.

7. The utility filing the restructuring advice letter may make minor revisions or corrections to the filing at any time before the effective date by filing and serving a supplement or substitute sheet. The utility shall withdraw the advice letter without prejudice in order to make major revisions. Supplements, substitute sheets, and withdrawals shall be filed and served in the same manner and on the same persons as was the original advice letter.

Minor revisions do not automatically extend the protest period. The Energy Division on its own motion or at the request of any person, may issue a notice extending the protest period. Any protest during the extended period shall be confined to the substance of the revision.

8. A supplement to a restructuring advice letter may be used to make minor revisions. The following revisions are examples of what commonly, but not necessarily, qualify as minor: a modification in response to a protest; a language clarification; or a later effective date. The supplement shall bear the same identifying number as the original advice letter but shall have a letter suffix "A" for the first supplement, "B" for the second supplement, etc.

9. Upon completion of the protest, response and reply period, the Energy Division will have 40 days within which to review the proposed restructuring to determine whether the information provided under paragraph 2 above and in response to any protest establishes that the proposed restructuring is reasonable under the Commission's standards and should be approved.

"Energy Division will review such protests (and any responses), and prepare a Resolution for the Commission pursuant to Section 9 of Attachment B. However, we modify Section 9 so that Energy Division, at its discretion, may advise the utility that the matter is too complex and should be filed as an Application. Energy Division may also advise the utility to file an Application even if there are no protests, should the Division determine that there are complexities to the filing that the Division does not believe it is in the best position to resolve. The Energy Division should discuss any such recommendation with the Coordinating Commissioner for QF matters before advising the utility to file an Application." (D.98-12-066, p.17)

When such review has been completed, and within such 40-day period, the Energy Division will prepare and submit to the Commission for consideration at the Commission's next public meeting which is at least 10 days thereafter a proposed resolution either approving or rejecting the restructuring advice letter. (To facilitate this process, the utility may submit a proposed form of resolution as part of the advice letter package.) A proposed resolution approving the restructuring advice letter shall make at least the following finding:

- (a) That the restructuring is reasonable;
- (b) That all payments to be made pursuant to the restructuring shall be recovered by the utility through its Annual Transition Cost Proceeding or other mechanism authorized by the Commission, subject only to the utility's prudent administration of the restructuring agreement.

The Commission may then adopt the proposed resolution or modify it in whole or in part. After the Commission has acted on the resolution, its action will be reported in the Daily Calendar and the resolution will be served on the utility filing the restructuring advice letter, the affected QF and on any person filing a protest or response to the restructuring advice letter.

10. Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Sections 1731 to 1736 and Rules 85 to 86.7 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the utility filing the restructuring advice letter, the affected QF, or any person filing a protest to the restructuring advice letter may apply for rehearing of a resolution approving or rejecting the restructuring advice letter pursuant to paragraph 9 above. The application for rehearing shall set forth specifically the grounds on which the applicant considers the resolution to be unlawful. Other than the affected QF, a person filing a response does not have standing to apply for rehearing.

The application for rehearing shall be submitted to the Commission's Docket Office, which will assign a docket number to the application, and with the Energy Division. If the applicant is the utility filing the restructuring advice letter, it shall serve all persons filing protests or responses to the restructuring advice letter. If the applicant is the affected QF or a person filing a protest, the applicant shall serve the utility and all other persons filing protests or responses to the restructuring advice letter.

11. If the Commission's final resolution does not approve the proposed restructuring in its entirety, then the terms of the agreement between the utility and the QF will determine whether or not the restructuring effort will terminate or whether the proposed restructuring will be resubmitted for consideration through a formal application process. Also, subject to its agreement with the QF, the utility will have the right to withdraw a restructuring advice letter without prejudice at any time prior to Commission action on the draft resolution prepared by the Energy Division, or to pursue a formal application process in lieu of the advice letter procedure.

12. Nothing in the restructuring advice letter filing procedure shall preclude the utility from electing not to use the advice letter process.

**PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**

505 VAN NESS AVENUE  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



September 22, 2003  
2003

Commission Meeting Date: October 2,  
ID#2769

TO: PARTIES IN R.99-11-022 and SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
EDISON COMPANY ADVICE LETTER 1726-E,  
QUALIFYING FACILITY (QF) CONTRACT  
AMENDMENT BETWEEN THE UTILITY AND ORMESA  
PURSUANT TO THE RESTRUCTURING ADVICE  
LETTER FILING (RALF) PROCEDURE.

Enclosed is draft Resolution E-3848 of the Energy  
Division. It addresses Southern California Edison  
Company Advice Letter 1726-E for approval as  
reasonable Amendment No. 2 to the Power Purchase  
Contract between Southern California Edison Company  
and Ormesa Geothermal (QFID No. 3010) and Contract  
Termination Agreement between Ormesa Geothermal II  
(QFID No. 3012) and Southern California Edison.

The draft Resolution will be on the agenda at the October  
2, 2003 Commission meeting. Since this is an  
uncontested matter in which the resolution grants the  
relief requested, pursuant to PU Code 311(g)(2), the  
otherwise applicable 30-day period for public review and  
comment is being reduced. The Commission may then  
vote on this draft Resolution or it may postpone a vote  
until later.

When the Commission votes on a draft Resolution,  
it may adopt all or part of it as written, amend,  
modify or set it aside and prepare a different  
Resolution. Only when the Commission acts does  
the Resolution become binding on the parties.

Parties may submit comments on the draft Resolution.

An original and two copies of the comments, with a certificate of service, should be submitted to:

Jerry Royer  
Energy Division  
California Public Utilities Commission  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
fax: 415-703-2200

A copy of the comments should also be submitted to:

Wade McCartney  
Energy Division  
California Public Utilities Commission  
770 L Street, Suite 1050  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
email: wsm@cpuc.ca.gov

Any comments on the draft Resolution must be received by the Energy Division by close of business on Friday September 26, 2003. Those submitting comments must serve a copy of their comments on (1) the entire service list attached to the draft Resolution, including the R.99-11-022 service list, (2) all Commissioners, and (3) the Director of the Energy Division, on the same date that the comments are submitted to the Energy Division.

Comments shall be limited to five pages in length plus a subject index listing the recommended



changes to the draft Resolution. Comments shall focus on factual, legal or technical errors in the proposed draft Resolution. Comments that merely reargue positions taken in the advice letter or protests will be accorded no weight and are not to be submitted. There will be no reply comments on the draft resolution.

Late submitted comments will not be considered.

Don Lafrenz  
Energy Division

Enclosure

Service List – Parties in SCE AL 1726-E and R.99-11-022

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that I have by mail this day served a true copy of Draft Resolution E-3848 on all parties in these filings or their attorneys as shown on the attached list.

Dated September 19, 2003 at San Francisco, California.

---

Jerry Royer

**NOTICE**

Parties should notify the Energy Division, Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 4002 San Francisco, CA 94102, of any change of address to insure that they continue to receive documents. You must indicate the Resolution number on the service list on which your name appears.

ROGER BERLINER  
MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP  
County of Las Angeles  
1501 M STREET, N.W., SUITE 700  
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

JAMES ROSS  
RCS CONSULTING, INC.  
MIDSET COGENERATION COMPANY  
500 CHESTERFIELD CENTER, SUITE 320  
CHESTERFIELD, MO 63017

ANDREW N. CHAU  
TRACTEBEL POWER, INC.  
1177 WEST LOOP SOUTH, SUITE 900  
HOUSTON, TX 77027

HOWARD CHOY  
ENERGY MANAGEMENT DIVISION  
MANAGER  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  
INTERNAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT  
1100 NORTHEASTERN AVENUE  
DAN WOODS  
WHITE & CASE LLP  
Smurfit Stone Container Corporation, /Delta  
Power Co./Willamette Industries,  
Inc./E.F.Oxnard, Inc.  
633 WEST FIFTH STREET, SUITE 1900  
SUSAN ROSSI  
ATTORNEY AT LAW  
CALIFORNIA POWER EXCHANGE  
CORPORATION  
70 SOUTH LAKE AVE 910  
PASADENA, CA 91101-4960

JONATHAN M. WEISGALL  
V.P. LEGISLATIVE & REGULATORY  
AFFAIRS  
CALENERGY COMPANY, INC.  
1200 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVE., NW, SU  
300  
DOUGLAS L. ANDERSON  
VICE PRESIDENT AND GENERAL  
COUNSEL  
CE GENERATION, LLC  
302 SOUTH 36TH STREET, SUITE 400  
OMAHA, NE 68131  
DAVID M. NORRIS  
ASSOCIATE GENERAL COUNSEL  
SIERRA PACIFIC POWER COMPANY  
6100 NEIL ROAD, PO BOX 10100  
RENO, NV 89520

KEVIN MC SPADDEN  
ATTORNEY AT LAW  
MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY & MC C  
601 S. FIGUEROA STREET, 30TH FLOOR  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90068

TANDY MCMANNES  
KJC CONSULTING COMPANY  
KRAMER JUNCTION OPERATING  
COMPANY  
2938 CROWNVIEW DRIVE  
RANCHO PALOS VERDES, CA 90275  
ERIC J. ISKEN  
ATTORNEY AT LAW  
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON  
COMPANY  
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE  
ROSEMEAD, CA 91770

JAMES B. WOODRUFF  
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON  
COMPANY  
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE, SUITE 342,  
GO1  
ROSEMEAD, CA 91770  
EDWARD E. MADDOX  
BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGER  
SEAWEST WINDPOWER, INC.  
1455 FRAZEE ROAD, SUITE 900  
SAN DIEGO, CA 92108-4310

DIANE I. FELLMAN  
ATTORNEY AT LAW  
LAW OFFICES OF DIANE I. FELLMAN  
234 VAN NESS AVENUE  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

John M Chamberlain  
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
CPUC OFFICE OF RATEPAYER  
ADVOCATES  
LEGAL DIVISION  
505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 4107  
LINDA SHERIF  
ATTORNEY AT LAW  
ALCANTAR & KAHL LLP  
COGENERATION ASSOCIATION OF  
CALIFORNIA (CAC) and EPUC  
120 MONTGOMERY STREET, STE 2200  
DIAN M. GRUENEICH  
ATTORNEY AT LAW  
GRUENEICH RESOURCE ADVOCATES  
582 MARKET STREET, SUITE 1020  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104-5311

THEODORE ROBERTS  
ATTORNEY AT LAW  
SEMPRA ENERGY  
SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC  
COMPANY  
101 ASH STREET, HQ 12B  
NORMAN J. FURUTA  
ATTORNEY AT LAW  
DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
2001 JUNIPERO SERRA BLVD., SUITE 6  
DALY CITY, CA 94014-3890

ROBERT FINKELSTEIN  
ATTORNEY AT LAW  
THE UTILITY REFORM NETOWRK (TU  
711 VAN NESS AVE., SUITE 350  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

EVELYN KAHL  
ATTORNEY AT LAW  
ALCANTAR & KAHL, LLP  
ENERGY PRODUCERS AND USERS  
COALITION (EPUC)  
120 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 2200  
ANN C. SELTING  
GRUENEICH RESOURCE ADVOCATE  
Sonoma County Water Agency  
582 MARKET STREET, SUITE 1020  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104-5311

ALICE REID  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPAN  
77 BEALE STREET  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105

CHARLES R. MIDDLEKAUF  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
LAW DEPARTMENT  
77 BEALE STREET, B30A  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105

JAMES D. SQUERI, ATTORNEY AT LAW  
GOODIN MACBRIDE SQUERI RITCHIE &  
DAY LLP

MONSANTO CO.  
505 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111  
JOSEPH M. KARP, ATTORNEY AT LAW  
WHITE & CASE LLP  
Smurfit Stone COntainer Corporation,  
f.k.a.Jefferson Smurfit Corporation(U.S.)  
THREE EMBARCADERO CENTER, SUITE  
2210

JEFFREY GRAY  
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE  
Dynamis Incorporated  
ONE EMBARCADERO CENTER STE. 600  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3611

SETH HILTON, ATTORNEY AT LAW  
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP  
EL PASO MERCHANT ENERGY, L.P.  
101 YGNACIO VALLEY ROAD, SUITE 450  
WALNUT CREEK, CA 94596-7032

R. THOMAS BEACH  
PRINCIPAL CONSULTANT  
CROSSBORDER ENERGY  
WATSON COGENERATION COMPANY  
2560 NINTH STREET, SUITE 316  
BERKELEY, CA 94710

BRIAN CRAGG, ATTORNEY AT LAW  
GOODIN MACBRIDE SQUERI RITCHIE &  
DAY LLP

CAITHNESS ENERGY/LUZ SOLAR  
PARTNERS LTD.,VIII&IX  
505 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900  
JERRY R. BLOOM, ATTORNEY AT LAW  
WHITE & CASE LLP  
CALIFORNIA COGENERATION COUNCIL  
(CCC)

THREE EMBARCADERO CENTER, SUITE  
2210

LINDSEY HOW-DOWNING, ATTORNEY AT  
LAW

DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP  
CALPINE CORPORATION  
ONE EMBARCADERO CENTER, SUITE  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111  
SARA STECK MYERS, ATTORNEY AT LAW  
ENRON WIND CORP., CENTER FOR  
ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE  
TECHNOLOGIES (CEERT)

122 - 28TH AVENUE  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94121  
NANCY RADER, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR  
CALIFORNIA WIND ENERGY  
ASSOCIATION

1198 KEITH AVENUE  
BERKELEY, CA 94708

PATRICK MCDONNELL  
AGLAND ENERGY  
TXU ENERGY SERVICES  
2000 NICASIO VALLEY  
NICASIO, CA 94946

JIM CROSSEN  
AUTOMATED POWER EXCHANGE, INC.  
TECHMART  
5201 GREAT AMERICA PARKWAY, SUITE  
552  
SANTA CLARA, CA 95054  
ROBERT BEACH  
SONOMA COUNTY WATER AGENCY  
739 MIRAMAR STREET  
WINDSOR, CA 95492

STEVE FELTE, GENERAL MANAGER  
TRI-DAM PROJECT & POWER AUTHORITY  
PO BOX 1158  
PINECREST, CA 95364-0158

JOHN J. PREVOST  
PACIFIC LUMBER COMPANY  
125 MAIN STREET  
SCOTIA, CA 95565

DALE W. MAHON  
CENTRAL HYDROELECTRIC  
CORPORATION  
9951 GRANT LINE ROAD  
ELK GROVE, CA 95624-1411

MATTHEW V. BRADY, SPECIAL COUNSEL  
MATTHEW V. BRADY & ASSOCIATES  
Central Hydroelectric Company  
2339 GOLD MEADOW WAY, SUITE 230  
GOLD RIVER, CA 95670

DOUGLAS K. KERNER  
ATTORNEY AT LAW  
ELLISON, SCHNEIDER & HARRIS  
INDEPENDENT ENERGY PRODUCERS  
ASSOCIATION (IEP)  
2015 H STREET  
MICHAEL ALCANTAR, ATTORNEY AT  
LAW  
ALCANTAR & KAHL LLP  
COGENERATION ASSOCIATION OF  
CALIFORNIA  
1300 SW FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 1750  
C. FAIRLEY SPILLMAN  
AKIN, GUMP, STRAUSS, HAUER & FELD,  
LLP  
1333 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE, NW  
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

ROBERT ELLERY  
SIERRA PACIFIC INDUSTRIES  
19794 RIVERSIDE AVENUE  
ANDERSON, CA 96007

DON SCHOENBECK  
RCS, INC  
COALINGA COGENERATION COMPANY  
900 WASHINGTON STREET, SUITE 780  
VANCOUVER, WA 98660

KAY DAVOODI  
NAVY RATE INTERVENTION OFFICE  
WASHINGTON NAVY YARD  
1314 HARWOOD STREET SE  
WASHINGTON NAVY YARD, DC 20374  
5018

MAURICE BRUBAKER  
BRUBAKER & ASSOCIATES  
PO BOX 412000  
ST. LOUIS, MO 63141

JANET DOYLE  
KRAMER JUNCTION COMPANY  
1636 AJAX LANE  
EVERGREEN, CO 80439

CLIFF ROCHLIN  
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY  
SEMPRA ENERGY  
555 W. FIFTH STREET, ML 22A1  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90013

JOHN COSTANZO  
KRAMER JUNCTION COMPANY  
1778 FISK COURT  
THOUSAND OAKS, CA 91362

JACK MCNAMARA  
GEO-ENERGY PARTNERS-1983 LTD.  
PO BOX 531  
AGOURA HILLS, CA 91376

JOHN W. LESLIE  
ATTORNEY AT LAW  
LUCE, FORWARD, HAMILTON & SCRIPPS,  
LLP  
Coral Energy Resourced, LLC/Engage Energy  
US,L.P.  
CHRIS SMITH  
CORAL ENERGY RESOURCES, LLC  
4320 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE  
SAN DIEGO, CA 92122

ED J. WHELESS  
DIVISION ENGINEER  
COUNTY SANITATION DIST. OF L.A.  
COUNTY  
SOLID WASTER MANAGEMENT DEPT  
PO BOX 4998  
DANIEL W. DOUGLASS  
ATTORNEY AT LAW  
LAW OFFICES OF DANIEL W. DOUGLASS  
6303 OWENSMOUTH AVENUE, TENTH  
FLOOR  
WOODLAND HILLS, CA 91367-2262  
CASE ADMINISTRATION  
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON  
COMPANY  
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE, ROOM 100  
ROSEMEAD, CA 91770

ANDY FRIEDL  
CP KELCO  
2025 E. HARBOR DRIVE  
SAN DIEGO, CA 92113

ROBERT TURNER  
COASTAL MERCHANT ENERGY LP  
PO BOX 17097  
SAN DIEGO, CA 92177

mitsubishi power systems, inc.  
100 bayview circle, suite 4000  
newport beach, ca 92660

eric wills, president  
sunray energy, inc.  
20668 paseo de la cumbre  
yorba linda, ca 92687

james l. mcARTHUR  
dai oilDALE, inc  
3300 manor drive  
bakersfield, ca 93308

edward g. cazalet, chairman  
automated power exchange  
5201 great america parkway  
santa clara, ca 94054

judy peck  
sempRA energy utilities  
601 van ness avenue, suite 2060  
san francisco, ca 94102

keenAN o'brien  
pacific gas and electric compA  
245 market st., mail code: N12E  
san francisco, ca 94102

william blattner  
ADMINSTRATOR STATE REG. RELATIONS  
sempRA energy  
601 van ness avenue, suite 2060  
san francisco, ca 94102

jack mcGOWAN  
GRUENEICH RESOURCE ADVOCATE  
582 market street, suite 1020  
san francisco, ca 94104

john pappas  
UTILITY ELECTRIC PORTFOLIO  
MANAGEMENT  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
77 beale street, N12E  
san francisco, ca 94105  
tony wakim  
KENNEDY/JENKS CONSULTANTS  
622 folsom street  
san francisco, ca 94107

robert t. boyd  
enron wind corp.  
444 south flower street, suite 4  
los angeles, ca 94105

arthur v. o'donnell  
california energy markets  
9 roscoe street  
san francisco, ca 94110-5921



MARGERY NEIS  
FTI CONSULTING  
353 SACRAMENTO STREET  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111

EDWARD W. O'NEILL, ATTORNEY AT  
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP  
ONE EMBARCADERO CENTER, SUITE  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3611

SHIRLEY L. WONG  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
PO BOX 770000, RM. 987 - B9A  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177

ALEXANDRE MAKLER, ATTORNEY AT  
LAW  
CALPINE CORPORATION  
PO BOX 11749  
PLEASANTON, CA 94588-1749

ROBERT SZYMANSKI  
POWERWORKS, INC.  
781 THOMAS LANE  
WALNUT CREEK, CA 94596

STEVE HUHMAN  
SOUTHERN COMPANY ENERGY  
MARKETING  
1350 TREAT BLVD. SUITE 500  
WALNUT CREEK, CA 94597

VIDA BENAVIDES  
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR  
C/O NAATA  
4096 PIEDMONT AVE, 312  
OAKLAND, CA 94611

ROBERT B. WEISENMILLER, PH.D.  
MRW & ASSOCIATES, INC.  
VARIOUS INTERVENORS  
1999 HARRISON STREET, SUITE 1440  
OAKLAND, CA 94612-3517

REED V. SCHMIDT  
BARTLE WELLS ASSOCIATES  
1889 ALCATRAZ AVENUE  
BERKELEY, CA 94703

RICHARD MC CANN  
M.CUBED  
2655 PORTAGE BAY, SUITE 3  
DAVIS, CA 95616

SCOTT BLAISING  
ATTORNEY AT LAW  
BRAUN & ASSOCIATES, P.C.  
8980 MOONEY ROAD  
ELK GROVE, CA 95624

TONY WETZEL  
THERMO ECOTEK CORPORATION  
631 HANCOCK DRIVE  
FOLSOM, CA 95630

ANDREW BROWN  
ELLISON & SCHNEIDER, LLP  
2015 H STREET  
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

STEVE PONDER  
FPL ENERGY, INC., LLC  
980 NINTH STREET, 16TH FLOOR  
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

DOUGLAS E. DAVIE  
PRINCIPAL CONSULTANT  
HENWOOD ENERGY SERVICES, INC.  
2379 GATEWAY OAKS DRIVE, SUITE 100  
SACRAMENTO, CA 95833

Amy C Yip-Kikugawa  
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
LEGAL DIVISION  
505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 5135  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

Darwin Farrar  
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
LEGAL DIVISION  
505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 4107  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

Gregory A. Wilson  
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
CPUC - ENERGY DIVISION  
ELECTRIC INDUSTRY & FINANCE  
505 VAN NESS AVENUE AREA 4-A  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

EDWARD J. TIEDEMANN, ATTORNEY  
LAW  
KRONICK, MOSKOVITZ, TIEDEMANN  
GIRARD  
PLACER COUNTY WATER AGENCY  
400 CAPITOL MALL, 27TH FLOOR  
DAVID R. BRANCHCOMB  
HENWOOD ENERGY SERVICES  
INDEPENDENT ENERGY PRODUCERS  
ASSOCIATION (IEP)  
SUITE 300 NORTH  
2710 GATEWAY OAKS DRIVE  
Maria E. Stevens  
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
EXECUTIVE DIVISION  
320 WEST 4TH STREET SUITE 500  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90013

Bruce DeBerry  
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW  
JUDGES  
505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 5043  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102  
Edwin Quan  
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
CPUC - ENERGY DIVISION  
INFORMATION & MANAGEMENT  
SERVICES DIVISION  
505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 3016  
Pearlie Sabino  
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF RATEPAYER ADVOCATES  
(ORA)  
ELECTRICITY RESOURCES AND PRICING  
BRANCH

BILL JULIAN  
ATTORNEY AT LAW  
UTILITIES & COMMERCE  
STATE CAPITOL, ROOM 2117  
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

Wade McCartney  
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
NATURAL GAS, ENERGY EFFICIENCY  
RESOURCE ADVISORY  
770 L STREET, SUITE 1050  
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

JAMES HOFFSIS  
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION  
1516 NINTH STREET MS-45  
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814-5504

